Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Some graph theoretical characterizations of positive definite symmetric quasi-Cartan matrices

M. Abarca · **D. Rivera**

arXiv:1412.1187v1 [math.CO] 3 Dec 2014

Abstract We present some graphical characterizations of positive definite symmetric quasi-Cartan matrices of Dynkin type $\mathbb{A}_n$ and $\mathbb{D}_n$. Our proofs are constructive, purely graph theoretical, and almost self-contained in the sense that they rely on the classical inflations method only.

Keywords Graph connectivity · Dynkin type · quasi-Cartan matrix

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the symmetric quasi-Cartan matrices. These are symmetric matrices $A \in \mathscr{M}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ with all diagonal entries $A_{ii} = 2$. If a quasi-Cartan matrix is also positive definite and all non-diagonal entries $A_{ij} < 0$ then it is a (symmetric) Cartan matrix. Two symmetric quasi-Cartan matrices A and A' are said to be $\mathbb{Z}$-equivalent if $A' = M^{\mathrm{T}} A M$ for some matrix $M \in \mathscr{M}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ with $M^{-1} \in \mathscr{M}_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

We adapt the graph terminology of [3]. Any symmetric quasi-Cartan matrix A can be depicted as being an adjacency-like matrix of a graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ with vertices $V(G) = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. For each pair of $\{i, j\} \in \binom{V(G)}{2}$ with $A_{ij} \neq 0$ add edges $e_1, \ldots, e_{|A_{ij}|}$ to $E(G)$ with endpoints $\psi(e_k) = \{i, j\}$, and a *line style* $\lambda : E \to \{\texttt{solid}, \texttt{dotted}\}$ given by $\lambda(e_k) = \texttt{dotted}$ if $A_{ij} > 0$, and `solid` otherwise. Such graph is called the *bigraph* of A and we will denote it as $\mathbf{G}_A$. Likewise, we write $\mathbf{A}_G$ for the quasi-Cartan matrix associated to the bigraph G. When restricted to symmetric Cartan matrices, each connected component of a bigraph is one of the Dynkin diagrams depicted in Figure 1.1 (for a reference, see [5, chap. II sec. 5]).

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of CONACyT (Grant 156667).

M. Abarca · D. Rivera
Av. Universidad 1001, Col. Chamilpa 62209, Cuernavaca, Mor. MEX.
Tel.: +52-777-329-7020 ext. 3678
E-mail: asma@uaem.mx, darivera@uaem.mx



Fig. 1.1 Dynkin diagrams. The parameter n denotes the number of vertices in the graph.

2 The inflations method on simple bigraphs

For any $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(s,r) \in \{1,\dots,n\}^2$ let $M_{sr}^{\sigma} = \mathbf{1} + \sigma \mathbf{e}_s \mathbf{e}_r^{\mathrm{T}}$, where $(\mathbf{e}_1,\dots,\mathbf{e}_n)$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity matrix of size n. In [4, sec. 6.2] it is shown that if A is any positive definite symmetric quasi-Cartan matrix then:

1. $A_{ij} \in \{-1,0,1\}$ for all $i \neq j$. Therefore $\mathbf{G}_A$ must be a simple bigraph and any edge e may be uniquely identified with its endpoints (i.e. $e = \psi(e)$).
2. A is $\mathbb{Z}$-equivalent to a Cartan matrix. This property is proven using the *inflations method*: start with $A^{(0)} = A$, then set $A^{(k+1)} = \left(M_{sr}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} A^{(k)} M_{sr}^{-1}$ whenever an entry $A_{sr}^{(k)} = 1$ exists for each $k = 1,2,3,\dots$ until no such entry exists. The last $A^{(\ell)}$ computed is guarantied to be a Cartan matrix.[1] The matrix $\mathrm{Dyn}(A) = A^{(\ell)}$ is unique up to isomorphism and may be called the *Dynkin type* of A (two matrices A and A' being isomorphic if $A' = P^{\mathrm{T}} A P$ for some permutation matrix P).

We transfer this terminology to bigraphs by saying that a bigraph $G = \mathbf{G}_A$ has Dynkin type $\Delta = \mathbf{G}_B$ if A is $\mathbb{Z}$-equivalent to the Cartan matrix B. Moreover, let $T_{sr}\,\mathbf{G}_A = \mathbf{G}_{A'}$ where $A' = \left(M_{sr}^{-A_{sr}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} A M_{sr}^{-A_{sr}}$. In the following we give characterizations of graphs with Dynkin types $\mathbb{A}_n$ and $\mathbb{D}_n$ which proof is graphical, constructive and self-contained. In doing so, we will make use of the following basic lemmas:

Lemma 2.1 *The bigraph G has Dynkin type Δ if and only if $G = T_{s_\ell r_\ell} \cdots T_{s_2 r_2}\, T_{s_1 r_1}\, \Delta$ for some finite sequence $(s_k, r_k)_{k=1}^{\ell}$.*

Proof Immediate by the inflations method. □

Lemma 2.2 *Let G be a simple bigraph. Then $T_{sr}\,G$ can be computed as follows:*

1. *If G does not contains the edge $\{s,r\}$ do nothing (ignore steps 2 through 4).*
2. *Change the line style of the edge $\{s,r\}$ to the opposite style (`dotted` and `solid` being opposites).*
3. *If edge $\{s,r\}$ is `solid` (resp. `dotted`) in G, then for each neighbor i of s add an edge between vertices i and r with the same (resp. opposite) line style as the edge $\{i,s\}$, and then cancel out pairs of parallel edges between i and s whenever they have opposite line style.*
4. *All other edges of G remain the same.*

[1] This results are expressed in [4] in terms of the associated quadratic form $q(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} A \mathbf{x}$.

Proof A direct computation of $A' = (M^{\sigma}_{sr})^{\mathrm{T}} A M^{\sigma}_{sr}$ where A is any square matrix yields:

$$A'_{ij} = \begin{cases} A_{ij} & \text{if } i \neq r \text{ and } j \neq r \\ A_{rj} + \sigma A_{sj} & \text{if } i = r \text{ and } j \neq r \\ A_{ir} + \sigma A_{is} & \text{if } i \neq r \text{ and } j = r \\ A_{rr} + \sigma (A_{sr} + A_{rs}) + \sigma^2 A_{ss} & \text{if } i = r \text{ and } j = r \end{cases}$$

If we let $\sigma = -A_{sr}$, $A_{sr} = \pm 1$, $A_{ij} = A_{ji}$ and $A_{ii} = 2$ for all i, j, then $A'_{rr} = 2$ and $A'_{ij} = A'_{ji}$, so that A' is a symmetric quasi-Cartan matrix with properties: (1) if $A_{sr} = 0$ then $A' = A$; (2) $A'_{sr} = -A_{sr}$; (3) $A'_{ir} = A_{ir} \mp A_{is}$ for all $i < r$; and (4) $A'_{ij} = A_{ij}$ for all $i \leq j$ with $j \neq r$. □

3 The Dynkin type $\mathbb{A}_n$ bigraphs

The main theorem of [1] is a characterization of the Dynkin type $\mathbb{A}_n$ bigraphs in terms of the construction of such bigraphs. We present a similar characterization with a constructive proof in terms of its *block tree* (i.e. its graph decomposition up to biconnected components) as described by [3, sec. 5.2]: A block tree of any graph G, denoted here as $\mathrm{BT}(G)$, is the bipartite graph with vertices $\mathscr{B} \cup S$ where $\mathscr{B}$ and S are the set of blocks and the set of separating vertices of G respectively, and tree edges given by $\{\{B,s\} | B \in \mathscr{B}, s \in V(B)\}$. Thus, if G is a simple connected graph then the degree of any separation vertex s of G in the block tree equals the number of connected components obtained after removing s (along with its incident edges).

Let $\mathbf{F}[X,Y]$ be the bigraph obtained by joining each pair $\{x,y\}$ of $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ by a `dotted` edge, and all other pairs $\{x,x'\} \in \binom{X}{2}$ and $\{y,y'\} \in \binom{Y}{2}$ by a `solid` edge.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $G = \mathbf{F}[X,Y] \cup \mathbf{F}[X',Y']$ where X, Y, X' and Y' are pairwise disjoint except for $X \cap X' = \{s\}$, then:*

1. *$T_{sr} G = \mathbf{F}[X, Y \setminus \{r\}] \cup \mathbf{F}[X' \cup \{r\}, Y']$ for any $r \in Y$, and*
2. *$T_{sr} G = \mathbf{F}[X \setminus \{r\}, Y] \cup \mathbf{F}[X', Y' \cup \{r\}]$ for any $r \in X \setminus \{s\}$.*

Proof Use Lemma 2.2 to compute $G' = T_{sr} G$. First assume $r \in Y$. Notice that for each $i \in X \setminus \{s\}$ there is a `dotted` edge $\{s,i\}$ that cancels out the `solid` edge $\{i,r\}$ and for each $i \in Y$ there is a `solid` edge $\{s,i\}$ that cancels out the `dotted` edge $\{i,r\}$, so that the vertex r is not adjacent in G' to any other vertex of X nor Y, except for s, which is connected to r by a `dotted` edge. Also, since r is not adjacent to any vertex of X' nor Y' in G, then for each $i \in X'$ (resp. $i \in Y'$) we add a `dotted` (resp. `solid`) edge $\{i,r\}$. By definition we get $G' = \mathbf{F}[X, Y \setminus \{r\}] \cup \mathbf{F}[X' \cup \{r\}, Y']$. The case where $r \in X \setminus \{s\}$ is analog. □

For simplicity, we say that two bigraphs G and G' are joined by a vertex s if $V(G) \cap V(G') = \{s\}$. If we write $G \cong \mathbf{F}_n$ to mean that $G = \mathbf{F}[X,Y]$ for some $n = |X| + |Y|$ then the previous lemma can be succinctly rewritten as follows:



Fig. 3.1 A minimal nontrivial example of Lemma 3.1, where $X = \{x,s\}$, $Y = \{y,r\}$, $X' = \{x',s\}$ and $Y' = \{y'\}$.

Corollary 3.2 *Let $B_1 \cong \mathbf{F}_n$ and $B_2 \cong \mathbf{F}_m$ be joined by a vertex s, and let $r \in V(B_1)$. Then $T_{sr}(B_1 \cup B_2) = B_1' \cup B_2'$ where $B_1' \cong \mathbf{F}_{n-1}$ and $B_2' \cong \mathbf{F}_{m+1}$ are joined by s, and $r \in V(B_2') \setminus V(B_1')$.*

We say that the block tree $\mathrm{BT}(G)$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-*block tree* if each block $B_i \cong \mathbf{F}_{n_i}$ for some n_i and each separation vertex s of G has degree 2 in $\mathrm{BT}(G)$ (so that s joins exactly two blocks of G).

Lemma 3.3 *If $\mathrm{BT}(G)$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-block tree then $\mathrm{BT}(T_{sr}G)$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-block tree.*

Proof Let $G' = T_{sr}G$ and suppose that $\mathrm{BT}(G)$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-block tree. If G does not contain the edge $\{s,r\}$ then $G' = G$ and the lemma is trivially true; otherwise let B be the block of G containing edge $\{s,r\}$ and consider the bigraph $\bar{B}$ induced by s and all neighbors of s that do not belong to B. We have two cases:

1. either s is not a separation vertex, in which case $B \cong \mathbf{F}_m$ and $\bar{B} \cong \mathbf{F}_{m'}$ for some $m \geq 2$ and $m' = 1$, or
2. s is a separation vertex, and $B \cong \mathbf{F}_m$, $\bar{B} \cong \mathbf{F}_{m'}$ for some $m \geq 2$ and $m' > 1$.

Since B and $\bar{B}$ are joined by s, then by Corollary 3.2 we have $T_{sr}(B \cup \bar{B}) = B' \cup \bar{B}'$ where $B' \cong \mathbf{F}_{m-1}$, $\bar{B}' \cong \mathbf{F}_{m'+1}$, and $r \in V(\bar{B}')$. Using property 4 of Lemma 2.2 we can compute $\mathrm{BT}(G')$ from $\mathrm{BT}(G)$ as follows:

1. Let $\mathscr{T} = (\mathscr{V} \cup \{s,\bar{B}\}, \mathscr{E} \cup \{\{B,s\},\{s,\bar{B}\}\})$ where $\mathrm{BT}(G) = (\mathscr{V},\mathscr{E})$. Note that $\deg_{\mathscr{T}}(s) = 2$, and that $\mathscr{T} = \mathrm{BT}(G)$ if and only if $m' > 1$.
2. If $m = 2$ then B' is not a block of G' and s is not a separation vertex of G'. In this case let $\mathscr{T}'$ be the result of shrinking B, s and $\bar{B}$ into a single vertex $\bar{B}'$ in $\mathscr{T}$.
3. If $m > 2$ then both B' and $\bar{B}'$ are blocks of G' joined by the separation vertex s. In this case let $\mathscr{T}'$ be the result of $\mathscr{T}$ after removing its edge $\{r,B\}$, replacing B and $\bar{B}$ with B' and $\bar{B}'$ respectively, and then adding the edge $\{r,\bar{B}'\}$. □

Theorem 3.4 *$\mathrm{Dyn}(G) = \mathbb{A}_{|V(G)|}$ if and only if $\mathrm{BT}(G)$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-block tree.*

Proof $\Rightarrow$) By induction on k of Lemma 2.1. For the base case ($G^{(0)} = \mathbb{A}_n$):

$$\mathbb{A}_n = v_1 \text{——} v_2 \text{——} \quad \cdots \quad \text{——} v_n$$

$$\mathrm{BT}(\mathbb{A}_n) = B_1 \text{——} v_2 \text{——} B_2 \text{——} \quad \cdots \quad \text{——} B_{n-2} \text{—} v_{n-1} \text{—} B_{n-1}$$

where each block $B_i = \mathbf{F}[\{v_i\},\{v_{i+1}\}] \cong \mathbf{F}_2$ for $i \in \{1,\ldots,n-1\}$, and each separation vertex $v_2,\ldots,v_{n-1}$ clearly has degree 2 in $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbb{A}_n)$. Therefore $\mathrm{BT}(\mathbb{A}_n)$ is an

$\mathbb{A}$-block tree. For the induction step, suppose that $\mathrm{BT}\left(G^{(k-1)}\right)$ is an $\mathbb{A}$-block tree and compute $G' = T_{sr}\, G^{(k-1)}$. Then by Lemma 3.3 $\mathrm{BT}\left(G^{(k)}\right)$ is also an $\mathbb{A}$-block tree.

$\Leftarrow$) Let $\mathscr{T} = \mathrm{BT}(G)$ and $n = |V(G)|$. We show how to transform G into $H \cong \mathbf{F}_n$, and then H into $\mathbb{A}_n$ using T transformations only. Suppose $G \ncong \mathbf{F}_n$ then $\mathscr{T}$ contains a path $B_1\, s\, B_2$ where $B_1 \cong \mathbf{F}_{n_1}$, $B_2 \cong \mathbf{F}_{n_2}$, and B_1 is a leaf block of G (i.e. $\deg_{\mathscr{T}}(B_1) = 1$). For simplicity, let $V(B_1) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{n_1}\}$ and $B_3 = T_{sv_1} T_{sv_2} \ldots T_{sv_n}(B_1 \cup B_2)$. Using Corollary 3.2 repeatedly we see that $B_3 \cong \mathbf{F}_{n_1+n_2}$. Thus, if $G' = T_{sv_1} \ldots T_{sv_n} G$, then $\mathrm{BT}(G')$ can be obtained from $\mathrm{BT}(G)$ by shrinking B_1, s and B_2 into a new vertex B_3. Repeating this shrinking operation we arrive to a bigraph $H \cong \mathbf{F}_n$. Finally, let $H = \mathbf{F}[\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}, \{y_1, \ldots, y_{m'}\}]$ and

$$H' = T_{x_m x_{m-1}} \ldots T_{x_3 x_2} T_{x_2 x_1} T_{y_1 y_2} T_{y_2 y_3} \ldots T_{y_{m'-1} y_{m'}} H$$

Then $H' = \mathbb{A}_n$ with $v_i = x_i$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $v_{j+m} = y_j$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, m'\}$. □

4 The Dynkin type $\mathbb{D}_n$ bigraphs

Next, we give a characterization of the bigraphs of Dynkin type $\mathbb{D}_n$. In [2] two types of constructions are suggested. We present yet another construction which proof follows the same pattern as the $\mathbb{A}_n$ case, and then use it to prove another construction that resembles the one founded in [2]. For our construction we need:

- A cycle bigraph $H = (\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_h\}, \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_h\})$ with $h \geq 2$, defined by $\psi(e_i) = \{x_i, x_{i+1}\}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, h\}$ ($x_{h+1} = x_1$) and such that H contains an odd number of `dotted` edges.
- Bigraphs $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_h$ where each $F_i = (V_i, E_i)$ has Dynkin type $\mathbb{A}_{n_i}$, contains the edge e_i with the same line style as in H (i.e. $\lambda_{F_i}(e_i) = \lambda_H(e_i)$), and neither x_i nor x_{i+1} are separation vertices of F_i. We also require that all F_i's are vertex pairwise disjoint except for the endpoints of e_i; more precisely $V_i \cap V_{i+1} = \{x_{i+1}\}$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, h-1\}$, $V_h \cap V_1 = \{x_1\}$, and $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$ for all other pairs of i, j.

Taking the union of all F_i we get a new bigraph $\hat{H}$ which by construction contains a copy of H. Call the simplified version of $\hat{H}$ (where each pair of parallel edges with opposite line styles are erased) the $\mathbb{D}$-*cycle gluing* of H and $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_h$.

Lemma 4.1 *If G is a $\mathbb{D}$-cycle gluing, then $T_{sr}\, G$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-cycle gluing.*

Proof Let G be the $\mathbb{D}$-cycle gluing of H and $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_h$, and let $G' = T_{sr}\, G$. If $\{s, r\} \notin E(G)$ then the lemma is trivially true. Otherwise for $i \in \{1, \ldots, h\}$ let $B_i \cong \mathbf{F}_{m_i}$ be the block of F_i which contains the edge $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\}$. Since no x_i is a separation vertex of F_{i-1} nor F_i, then $F_{i-1} \cup F_i$ has Dynkin type $\mathbb{A}_{n_{i-1}+n_i}$. Taking this into account, there are four possibilities for s and r:

1. Neither s or r are members of $V(H)$. This case does not affect the cycle bigraph H, since $\{s, r\} \in E(F_i) \setminus E(H)$ for some i, and therefore G' is the $\mathbb{D}$-cycle gluing of H and $F_1, \ldots, F_{i-1}, T_{sr} F_i, F_{i+1}, \ldots, F_h$.

2. Only s is a member of $V(H)$. Assume $s = x_i$. We prove that T_{sr} moves r from F_{i-1} to F_i or from F_i to F_{i-1} without affecting H. Either $\{s,r\} \in E(B_i)$ or $\{s,r\} \in E(B_{i-1})$. Without any loss of generality let $\{s,r\} \in B_{i-1}$, so that $m_{i-1} \geq 3$. Then by Corollary 3.2 $T_{sr}(B_{i-1} \cup B_i)$ has the effect of "moving" the vertex r from B_{i-1} to B_i, yielding the blocks $B'_{i-1} \cong \mathbf{F}_{m_{i-1}-1}$ and $B'_i \cong \mathbf{F}_{m_i+1}$. This effectively translates to moving r from F_{i-1} to F_i yielding some bigraphs F'_{i-1} and F'_i. Note that $\{x_{i-1}, x_i\} \in E\left(B'_{i-1}\right)$ and $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \in E\left(B'_{i-1}\right)$; thus G' is the $\mathbb{D}$-cycle gluing of H and $F_1, \ldots, F_{i-2}, F'_{i-1}, F'_i, F_{i+1}, \ldots, F_h$.
3. Only r is a member of $V(H)$. We prove that T_{sr} grows the cycle bigraph by absorbing s into it. Let $r = x_i$; either $\{s,r\} \in B_i$ or $\{s,r\} \in B_{i-1}$. Assume without loss of generality that $\{s,r\} \in B_i$, so that $m_i \geq 3$. Let $\overline{B_i}$ be the subgraph of F_i induced by s and all neighbors of s not in $V(B_i)$. Then $\overline{B_i} \cong \mathbf{F}_{m'_i}$ where $m'_i \geq 1$ with $m'_i > 1$ if and only if s is a separation vertex of G. By Corollary 3.2 $T_{sr}(B_i \cup \overline{B_i}) = B'_i \cup \overline{B'_i}$ where $B'_i \cong \mathbf{F}_{m_i-1}$, $\overline{B'_i} \cong \mathbf{F}_{m'_i+1}$, $r \in V(\overline{B'_i}) \setminus V(B'_i)$, and s joins B'_i and $\overline{B'_i}$. Then s is a separation vertex of $T_{sr}F_i$ which joins two sub-bigraphs F'_i (the one containing B'_i) and $\overline{F'_i}$ (the one containing $\overline{B'_i}$). By property 4 of Lemma 2.2 we see that$\{x_{i-1}, r\} \in E(G')$, so that G' contains the path $x_{i-1}rsx_{i+1}$ but not the edge $\{r, x_{i+1}\}$. Notice that $\lambda_G(\{s, x_{i+1}\}) = \texttt{solid}$ if and only if $\lambda_{G'}(\{r,s\}) = \lambda_{G'}(\{s, x_{i+1}\})$. Therefore, if we define H' as the cycle graph given by the walk $x_1 x_2 \ldots x_i s x_{i+1} \ldots x_h x_1$ in G', then H' has an odd number of `dotted` edges if and only if H does, and so G' is the $\mathbb{D}$-cycle gluing of H and $F_1, \ldots, F_{i-1}, F'_i, \overline{F'_i}, F_{i+1}, \ldots, F_h$.
4. Both s and r are members of $V(H)$. Let $r = x_i$ and $s = x_{i+1}$. This case has the effect of shrinking H by joining F_i and F_{i+1} and removing s from H. To see this, notice first that if $V(H) = \{s,r\}$ then by property 1 of Lemma 2.2 $T_{sr}G = G$, therefore we may assume that $|V(H)| \geq 3$. Since two consecutive applications of T_{sr} annihilate (i.e $T_{sr}T_{sr}$ is the identity transformation) and since G' of the previous case contains $\{s,r\} \in V(H')$ we infer that T_{sr} has the opposite effect as previously stated. □

Theorem 4.2 *Let G be any bigraph with $n \geq 4$ vertices. Then G has Dynkin type $\mathbb{D}_n$ if and only if it is a $\mathbb{D}$-cycle gluing.*

Proof $\Rightarrow$) We prove this implication by induction on k of Lemma 2.1. For the base case, the $\mathbb{D}$-cycle gluing of $G^{(0)} = \mathbb{D}_n$ is given by:



For the induction step, let $G^{(k)} = T_{sr}G^{(k-1)}$ where $G^{(k-1)}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-cycle gluing. Then by Lemma 4.1 $G^{(k)}$ is also a $\mathbb{D}$-cycle gluing.

$\Leftarrow$) Let G be any $\mathbb{D}$-cycle gluing of H and $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_h$ and $n = |V(G)| \geq 4$. If $\bigcup\{F_1, \ldots, F_h\} \neq H$ then there exists a vertex s joined to some x_i by an edge $\{s, x_i\} \in E(F_i)$ for some i. Since x_i is not a separation vertex of F_i, then $\{s, x_{i+1}\} \in E(F_i)$. Using case 3 of the proof of Lemma 4.1 repeatedly we grow the cycle until we get

to a bigraph H' which is a $\mathbb{D}$-cycle gluing of H' itself and $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$, where each $F_i = \mathbf{F}[\{x_i\}, \{x_{i+1}\}]$ if $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\}$ is `solid` in H', and $F_i = \mathbf{F}[\{x_i, x_{i+1}\}, \emptyset]$ otherwise. Notice that the transformation $I_{x_i} = T_{x_i x_{i+1}} T_{x_i x_{i-1}}$ has the effect of changing $\{x_{i-1}, x_i\}$ and $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\}$ to their opposite `solid`/`dotted` line style. Since H' has an odd number of `dotted` edges, then applying I_{x_i} whenever $\{x_{i-1}, x_i\}$ is `dotted` for $i = 2, 3, \ldots, n$ yields a cycle bigraph H'' where $\{x_n, x_1\}$ is the only `dotted` edge. Finally, let

$$H''' = T_{x_3 x_1} T_{x_4 x_1} \ldots T_{x_n x_1} H''$$

Then $H''' = \mathbb{D}_n$ with $x_i = v_i$. □

We can easily prove a construction that resembles very much that of [2]. We start with the following lemma:

Lemma 4.3 *Let G be a bigraph of Dynkin type $\mathbb{A}_n$, then the shortest path between any pair of vertices x and y is unique. Moreover, it is $x s_1 s_2 \ldots s_k y$ where each s_i is a separation vertex of G in any simple path $x \rightsquigarrow y$.*

Proof Let V and $\mathscr{B}$ be the vertex set and block set of G respectively and define the bipartite graph $T[V, \mathscr{B}]$ where each vertex $u \in V$ is joined to $B \in \mathscr{B}$ whenever $u \in V(B)$. Clearly T is a tree and contains $\mathrm{BT}(G)$. Therefore the path $x \rightsquigarrow y$ is unique in T and has the form $x B_0 s_1 B_1 s_2 \cdots s_k B_k y$ where each s_i is a separation vertex of G. Thus, any simple $x \rightsquigarrow y$ path must contain vertices $\{x, s_1, \ldots, s_k, y\}$. Also, by Theorem 3.4, each B_i contains edges $\{s_{i-1}, s_i\}$ where $s_0 = x$ and $s_k = y$. Therefore $x s_1 \cdots s_{k-1} y$ is actually a simple path of G which vertices are contained in $\{x, s_1, \ldots, s_{k-1}, y\}$. □

For any bigraph G, let $d_G(u, v)$ denote the *distance* of u and v, given by the number of edges of the shortest path $u \rightsquigarrow v$ in G. The *identification* of two nonadjacent vertices x and y of a bigraph G is the operation of replacing these vertices by a single vertex incident to all the edges which are incident to either x or y. Denote the result of identifying x and y in G as $G/\{x,y\}$.

Theorem 4.4 *A bigraph G with $n \geq 4$ vertices has Dynkin type $\mathbb{D}_n$ if and only if there exists a bigraph J of Dynkin type $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$ with two non-separating vertices u and v such that $d_J(u, v) \geq 2$, the shortest path $u \rightsquigarrow v$ has an odd number of* `dotted` *edges, and $G = J/\{u,v\}$.*

Proof $\Rightarrow$) By Theorem 4.2 say that G is a $\mathbb{D}$-cycle-gluing of H and $F_1, \ldots, F_h$. Taking the union of $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_{h-1}, F'_h$ where F'_h is the result of replacing x_1 by a new vertex x_{h+1} in F_h yields a bigraph J with $n+1$ vertices. From the definition of a $\mathbb{D}$-cycle gluing follows that each x_i is a separation vertex of J for $i \in \{2, \ldots, h\}$ and that $u = x_1$ and $v = x_{h+1}$ are non-separating vertices of J. By Theorem 3.4 we infer that J has Dynkin type $\mathbb{A}_{n+1}$. By Lemma 4.3, the shortest path $x_1 \rightsquigarrow x_{h+1}$ in J is precisely $x_1 x_2 \ldots x_h x_{h+1}$, which by definition of H has length $d_J(x_1, x_{h+1}) \geq 2$ and an odd number of `dotted` edges. Finally by the very definition of x_{h+1}, identifying $x_1 = x_{h+1}$ yields G.

$\Leftarrow$) Say that the shortest path P from u to v is $u = x_1 x_2 \ldots x_h x_{h+1} = v$. By Lemma 4.3 we see that x_i is a separation vertex for $i \in \{2, \ldots, h\}$. Let $J_1 = J$. By Theorem 3.4 x_{i+1} separates J_i into two subgraphs F_i and J_{i+1} where $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \in E(F_i) \setminus E(J_{i+1})$

for $i = 1,2,\ldots,h-1$. Let $F_h = J_h$, $x_{h+1} = x_1$ and $H = {}^{P}/_{\{x_{i+1},x_1\}}$. Then by construction $G = {}^{J}/_{\{u,v\}}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-cycle gluing of H and $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_h$. Therefore by Theorem 4.2, G has Dynkin type $\mathbb{D}_n$. □

References

1. Barot, M.: A characterization of positive unit forms. Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana **5**, 87–94 (1999)
2. Barot, M.: A characterization of positive unit forms, part II. Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana **7**, 13–22 (2001)
3. Bondy, A., Murty, U.: Graph Theory, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 244. Springer (2008). URL `http://www.springer.com/book/978-1-84628-969-9`
4. Gabriel, P., Roiter, A.V.: Representations of Finite-Dimensional Algebras, *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 73. Springer (1997). URL `http://www.springer.com/book/978-3-540-53732-8`
5. Knapp, A.W.: Lie Groups Beyond an Introduction, *Progress in Mathematics*, vol. 140, 2nd edn. Birkhäuser (2002). URL `http://www.springer.com/book/978-0-8176-4259-4`